

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/722,809	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHIM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Mazda Sabouri	<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mazda Sabouri.

(2) Joseph J. Kolodka.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 13 February 2008

**Time:** 11:00 a.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5, 13 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 2/14/2008  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed proposed examiner's amendment to claims 5 and 13. Examiner recommended amendments to these claims to match the allowable subject matter recited in claim 18 (ticket generation time). Examiner noted that the specification seemed to indicate the the ticket generation time was not the time in which the second access node received the tickets, but rather the time which the first access node generated or provided the ticket (see page 12, paragraph 35 of the specification). Applicant respectfully agreed to allow examiner to amend claims to recite this interpretation of the ticket generation time.

  
Rafael Perez-Gutierrez  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Technology Center 2600  
Art Unit 2617  
2/13/03